

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,731	08/10/2000		Jerry Thomas Moore	9283/001	9120
24283	7590	12/26/2001			
PATTON B	OGGS		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 270930 LOUISVILLE, CO 80027				ROWAN, KURT C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3643	
				DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/636,731

Applicant(s)

MOORE

Examiner

KURT ROWAN

Art Unit 3643

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. 	ion.
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe communication. 	riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) \boxed{X} Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Nov 21, 20</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance ex closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-25	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 19-21	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-25	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	monty under 35 U.S.C. & F15(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2	0) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/636,731

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 19-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-8, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller '112. The patent to Miller shows a fishing tackle box 23, a harness 11 and a fishing rod holder 90-93 which can be considered as a U-shaped trough as shown in Fig. 8 having a clamp 96. The fishing rod holder is attached to the fly box by way of closed front wall 18 and configured to hold the fishing rod in a horizontal orientation as shown in Fig. 3.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/636,731

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller. The patent to Miller shows a chest fly box system as discussed above. In reference to claim 9, Miller does not show the outer edge of the support plate and the proximate upper edge of the fishing rod holder being formed by a bend in a single piece of construction material. However, it would have been obvious to form the outer edge of the support plate and the proximate upper edge of the fishing rod holder from a single piece of construction material since the function is the same and no stated problem is solved. In reference to claim 10, Miller shows a 90 degree angle between the rod holder and the outer edge of the support plate in Fig. 8. In reference to claim 11, Miller shows a fastener 77 to secure the pocket-sized fly box to the base piece when the fly box is in a vertical closed position as shown in Fig. 6 and a horizontal flat position as shown in Fig. 3, but it would have been obvious to employ more than one fastener for multiplied effect.

 See In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/636,731

Art Unit: 3643

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Cook, Alcorn, Bellamy, McMurtrie, Anderson, Thompson, Scharsu, Fleming, Kiser, Peterson, Parkhurst, Wallace, Ferrand, Cumings, and Drinkard show other fishing tackle boxes and rod holders.

8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **KURT ROWAN** whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Application/Control Number: 09/636,731

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KURT ROWAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

December 19, 2001